

Exact solutions of a class of nonlinear boundary value problems with moving boundaries

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 L935

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/26/18/009>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 19:35

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Exact solutions of a class of nonlinear boundary value problems with moving boundaries

R M Cherniha and N D Cherniha

Department of Applied Research, Institute of Mathematics 3, Tereshchenkiv'ska Street, Kyiv 4, Ukraine

Received 19 March 1993, in final form 21 June 1993.

Processes of melting and evaporation of metals in the case that their surface is exposed to a powerful flux of energy, are known to be described by a nonlinear boundary value problem [1, 2]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\lambda_K(T_K) \frac{\partial T_K}{\partial x} \right) = C_K(T_K) \frac{\partial T_K}{\partial \tau} \quad K = s, l \tag{1}$$

$$x = S_l(\tau): \lambda_l(T_l) \frac{\partial T_l}{\partial x} = \nu_l H_v - q \quad T_l = T_v \tag{2}$$

$$x = S_s(\tau): \lambda_s(T_s) \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x} = \lambda_l(T_l) \frac{\partial T_l}{\partial x} + \nu_s H_m \quad T_s = T_l = T_m \tag{3}$$

$$T_s|_{x \rightarrow \infty} = T_0 \quad T_K|_{\tau=0} = T_0 \quad K = s, l \tag{4}$$

where T_m, T_v, T_0 are the temperatures of melting and evaporation, and the original temperature, respectively; λ_K are thermal conductivities; H_m, H_v, C_K are specific heat values per unit volume; q is the energy flux being absorbed by the metal; S_K are the phase division boundary coordinates to be found; ν_K are the phase division boundary velocities to be found; T_K are the temperature fields to be found; indices s and l corresponding to solid and liquid phases, respectively.

In a quasistationary approximation in the linear case the problem of (1)–(4) is solved in [3]. In the case when $C_K(T_K)$ or $\lambda_K(T_K)$ are linear functions, this problem has been solved in [2]. In this report a method for construction of the exact solutions of the problem in the case of arbitrary, sufficiently smooth functions of $\lambda_K, C_K, K = s, l$, is suggested, the illustrations for some specific nonlinearities being given.

It is known that after a short transient of melting and evaporation there occurs a quasistationary phase for which the relations for velocities are $v_s = v_l = v = \text{constant}$ and for the melt thickness $S_s - S_l = \delta = \text{constant}$. This enables us to use in the problem (1)–(4) a moving coordinate system attached to the evaporation front according to the law $\xi = x - v\tau$, hence the functions to be found have the form $T_K(\tau, x) = T_K(\xi)$. Thus, the initial problem is reduced to the boundary value problem for the following ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\lambda_K(T_K) \frac{dT_K}{d\xi} \right) + v C_K(T_K) \frac{dT_K}{d\xi} = 0 \quad K = s, l \tag{5}$$

$$\xi = 0: \lambda_l(T_l) \frac{dT_l}{d\xi} = vH_v - q \quad T_l = T_v \tag{6}$$

$$\xi = \delta: \lambda_s(T_s) \frac{dT_s}{d\xi} = \lambda_l(T_l) \frac{dT_l}{d\xi} + vHm \quad T_s = T_l = T_m \tag{7}$$

$$T_s|_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} = T_0. \tag{8}$$

The nonlinear equations (5) at $C_K(T_K) = 0$ are well known to be linearized, even in the multidimensional case, by the substitution $W_K = \int \lambda_K(T_K) dT_K$. This substitution can apparently be generalized as well to the case $C_K(T_K) \neq 0$ by introducing a new independent variable η

$$\begin{aligned} z_s &= \int_{T_0}^{T_s} C_s(T) dT \equiv W_s(T_s) \\ z_l &= \int_{T_m}^{T_l} C_l(T) dT \equiv W_l(T_l) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \int_0^\eta \frac{\lambda_l}{C_l} (w_l^{-1}(z_l(\eta))) d\eta \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \delta \\ \xi &= \int_{\delta^*}^\eta \frac{\lambda_s}{C_s} (W_s^{-1}(z_s(\eta))) d\eta + \delta \quad \xi \geq \delta \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where W_K^{-1} are inverse functions to $W_K(T_K)$, $K = s, l$, and the lower bounds of integration for convenience are chosen to fit $W_s(T_0) = 0$, $W_l(T_m) = 0$, $\xi|_{\eta=0} = 0$, $\xi|_{\eta=\delta^*} = \delta$.

Since the values $C_K(T_K)$, $\lambda_K(T_K)$ according to their physical meaning are continuous and positive, there are strictly monotonic increasing functions z_K and ξ (depending on T_K and η , respectively) on the RHS of (9) and (10).

Hence there exist inverse functions to W in the intervals shown. Homeomorphisms between the functions and variables $z_s(\eta)$, $z_l(\eta)$, η and $T_s(\xi)$, $T_l(\xi)$, ξ , respectively,

can thus be established. Having substituted variables of (9) and (10) into the equations and boundary conditions (5)–(8), after simple transformation, a linear problem is obtained:

$$\frac{d^2 z_K}{d\eta^2} + v \frac{dz_K}{d\eta} = 0 \quad K = s, 1 \quad (11)$$

$$\eta = 0: \frac{dz_1}{d\eta} = vH_v - q \quad z_1 = W_1(T_v) \equiv T_v^* \quad (12)$$

$$\eta = \delta^*: \frac{dz_s}{d\eta} = \frac{dz_1}{d\eta} + vH_m \quad z_s = W_s(T_m) \equiv T_m^* \quad z_1 = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$z_s|_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} = 0 \quad (14)$$

where $z_K(v)$, v , δ^* are unknown functions and constants.

After the problem of equations (11)–(14) has been solved, we obtain

$$z_s(\eta) = T_m^* \exp v(\delta^* - \eta) \quad \eta \geq \delta^* \quad (15)$$

$$z_1(\eta) = T_v^* \frac{\exp(v(\delta^* - \eta)) - 1}{e^{v\delta^*} - 1} \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq \delta^* \quad (16)$$

$$v = q(T_v^* + T_m^* + H_m + H_v)^{-1} \quad (17)$$

$$\delta^* = \frac{1}{v} \ln \left(1 + \frac{T_v^*}{T_m^* + H_m} \right). \quad (18)$$

Thus, to obtain the solution of the nonlinear boundary value problem (5)–(8) one should only substitute the expressions (15)–(18) into (9) and (10). Then an implicit solution of the problem (5)–(8), and, consequently, the solution of the original nonlinear problem (1)–(4) in a quasistationary approximation, is obtained. To obtain the expressions for the functions $T_K(\xi) = T_K(x - v\tau)$ in an explicit form one should, possessing specific functions λ_K , C_K , $K = s, 1$, solve for the functional relations with respect to T_K and δ . Particularly, in the case of constant values λ_K , C_K the results of [3] can be easily obtained.

Note 1. For the velocity v , (17) gives the value in an explicit form, since T_m^* , T_v^* are determined from (12) and (13).

Below we state the results yielded by the substitutions of (9) and (10) in some specific cases of temperature dependence exhibited by λ_K and C_K , $K=s, l$.

Case 1. If $\lambda_K(T_K) = \lambda_K$, $C_K(T_K) = c_K + d_K T_K$, $\lambda_K, c_K, d_K \in \mathbb{R}^1$, then

$$T_s = \frac{T_0 C_s \left(\frac{T_m + T_0}{2} \right) + (T_m - T_0) C_s \left(\frac{T_0}{2} \right) \exp \left[-v \frac{C_s(T_0)}{\lambda_s} (\xi - \delta) \right]}{C_s \left(\frac{T_m + T_0}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} d_s (T_m - T_0) \exp \left[-v \frac{C_s(T_0)}{\lambda_s} (\xi - \delta) \right]} \quad \xi \geq \delta \quad (19)$$

$$T_l = \frac{K}{d_l} \frac{C_l(T_v) + K + (C_l(T_v) - K) \exp \left(-v \frac{K}{\lambda_l} \xi \right)}{C_l(T_v) + K - (C_l(T_v) - K) \exp \left(-v \frac{K}{\lambda_l} \xi \right)} - \frac{C_l}{d_l} \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \delta \quad (20)$$

$$\delta = \frac{\lambda_l}{vK} \ln \left| \frac{(C_l(T_v) - K)(C_l(T_m) + K)}{(C_l(T_v) + K)(C_l(T_m) - K)} \right| \quad (21)$$

where

$$K = [C_l^2(T_m) - 2d_l H_m - 2d_l(T_m - T_0)C_s \left(\frac{T_m + T_0}{2} \right)]^{1/2}$$

Note 2. In the case $c_s = 0$ equations (18)–(21) coincide with the corresponding formulae from [2] (in the latter the condition $c_s = 0$ having been accidentally omitted).

Case 2. If $\lambda_K(T_K) = \lambda_K$, $C_K(T_K) = e^{\alpha_K T_K}$, $\lambda_K, \alpha_K \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $K=s, l$, then

$$T_s = T_0 - \frac{1}{\alpha_s} \ln \left[1 - (1 - e^{-\alpha_s(T_m - T_0)}) \exp \left[-v \frac{e^{\alpha_s T_0}}{\lambda_s} (\xi - \delta) \right] \right] \quad (22)$$

$$T_l = T_v - \frac{1}{\alpha_l} \ln \left[\frac{e^{\alpha_l T_v} (e^{v\delta^*} - 1) \exp \left(\frac{e^{v\delta^* + \alpha_l T_m} - e^{\alpha_l T_v}}{e^{v\delta^*} - 1} \cdot \frac{v}{\lambda_l} \xi \right) - e^{v\delta^*} (e^{\alpha_l T_v} - e^{\alpha_l T_m})}{(e^{v\delta^* + \alpha_l T_m} - e^{\alpha_l T_m}) \exp \left(\frac{e^{v\delta^* + \alpha_l T_m} - e^{\alpha_l T_v}}{e^{v\delta^*} - 1} \cdot \frac{v}{\lambda_l} \xi \right)} \right] \quad (23)$$

$$\delta = \frac{\lambda_l}{v e^{\alpha_l T_v}} \frac{e^{v\delta^*} - 1}{1 - \exp(-v\delta^* + \alpha_l(T_v - T_m))} \quad (24)$$

where

$$e^{v\delta^*} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_1^{-1}(e^{a_1 T_v} - e^{a_1 T_m})}{\alpha_s^{-1}(e^{a_s T_m} - e^{a_s T_0}) + H_m}$$

Case 3. If $\lambda_K = a_K + b_K T_K^2$, $C_K(T_K) = c_K$, $K = s, l$, $a_K, b_K, c_K \in \mathbb{R}^1$ then

$$T_s = T_0 + (T_m - T_0)e^{v(\delta^* - \eta(\xi))} \quad \xi \geq \delta \tag{25}$$

$$T_l = T_m + \frac{T_v - T_m}{e^{v\delta^*} - 1} (e^{v(\delta^* - \eta(\xi))} - 1) \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \delta \tag{26}$$

where

$$e^{v\delta^*} = 1 + \frac{c_l(T_v - T_m)}{c_s(T_m - T_0) + H_m}$$

the function $\eta(\xi)$ being a solution of the transcendental equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta - \delta^*) \frac{a_s + b_s T_0^2}{c_s} - \frac{b_s(T_m - T_0)^2}{2vc_s} e^{2v\delta^*} (e^{-v\eta} - e^{-v\delta^*}) \left[\left(\frac{4T_0}{T_m - T_0} + 1 \right) e^{-v\delta^*} + e^{-v\eta} \right] \\ = \xi - \delta \quad \xi \geq \delta \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{a_l + b_l T_m^2}{b_l} - \frac{c_l^2}{(c_s(T_m - T_0) + H_m)^2} - \frac{2T_m c_l}{c_s(T_m - T_0) + H_m} + 1 \right] \eta \\ + \frac{2}{v} \left(1 - \frac{T_m c_l}{c_s(T_m - T_0) + H_m} \right) e^{v(\delta^* - \eta)} - \frac{1}{2v} e^{2v(\delta^* - \eta)} \\ = \frac{c_l^3 \xi}{b_l(c_s(T_m - T_0) + H_m)^2} \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \delta. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By expanding the LHS of (27) and (28) into a Taylor series in the vicinity of $\eta = \delta^*$ and by considering only powers of η less than 3, the explicit form of $\eta(\xi)$ in the vicinity of $\xi = \delta$ is easily obtained. The expressions obtained are omitted as being too cumbersome.

Case 4. If $\lambda_k(T_k) = \lambda_k T_k^{\beta_k}$, $c_k(T_k) = c_k T_k^{\alpha_k}$, $\lambda_k, c_k, \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}^1$, then

$$T_s = T_m \left[1 + \frac{c_s \gamma_s v}{\lambda_s} T_m^{\alpha_s - \beta_s} (\xi - \delta) \right]^{1/(\alpha_s + 1)} \quad \xi \geq \delta$$

$$T_l = \left(T_v^{1 + \alpha_l} + \frac{c_l \gamma_l v}{\lambda_l} \xi T^{2\alpha_l + 1 - \beta_l} \right)^{1/(\alpha_l + 1)} \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \delta$$

$$\delta = \frac{\lambda_l}{vc_l \gamma_l} T_m^{\beta_l - 2\alpha_l - 1} (T_m^{\alpha_l + 1} - T_v^{\alpha_l + 1})$$

where it is assumed that

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 = O \quad H_n = \frac{c_l}{\alpha_l + 1} T_m^{\alpha_l + 1} - \frac{c_s}{\alpha_s + 1} T_m^{\alpha_s + 1} \quad \gamma_k = \frac{\alpha_k - \beta_k}{\alpha_k + 1} \quad \alpha_k < \beta_k \\ \alpha_s < -1 \quad \alpha_l \neq -1 \quad k = s, l. \end{aligned}$$

Concluding, we should note that the procedure of returning from the solution of the initial problem in an implicit form of (15)–(18) to the solution in the explicit form can be realized also for $C_k(T_k) = c_k T_k^{-1}$, $\lambda_k(T_k) = \lambda_k T_k^{\beta_k}$ nonlinearities, where $\lambda_k, c_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}^1$. The suggested linearization method of the boundary value problem equations (1)–(4) holds also if a more complicated condition [4] holds instead of the condition at the evaporation front, $T_l = T_v$.

The authors are much obliged to Dr J Azhnyuk for advice in writing this letter.

References

- [1] Ready J F 1971 *Effects of High-power Laser Radiation* (New York: Academic)
- [2] Cherniha R and Odnorozhenko I 1990 *Dopovidi Akad. Nauk Ukraine (Reports Ukr. Akad. Sci.)* ser A 12 44–7
- [3] Dulnev G N and Jaryshev N A 1967 *Teplofizika visokih temperatur (Heatphys. High Temp.)* 5 (2) 322–8.
- [4] Cherniha R and Odnorozhenko I 1991 *Promyshlenaja teplotehnika (Ind. Heatphys.)* 13(4) 51–9